UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,483	11/21/2003	Taylor James	AOL0149	2527
22862 GLENN PATE	7590 10/05/2007 NT GROUP	EXAMINER		
3475 EDISON	WAY, SUITE L	ORTIZ, BELIX M		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			,	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

60	)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,483	JAMES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Belix M. Ortiz	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	ugust 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	p. 10.13, a. 10.10.13, 1.10(0)	, (0) 0. (0).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	1				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	······································				
application from the International Bureau		Ç				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Remarks

1. In response to communications files on 20-August-2007. Claims 1, 15, and 29-31 are amended per applicant request. Claims 1-32 are presently pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-19, 21-26, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 11/21/02) as being unpatentable by Ehrlich et al. (U.S. patent 2002/0156685) (Eff. filing date of application: 2/10/2001) in view of Edlund et al. (U.S. patent 6,546,388) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/14/2000) and further in view of Bowen (U.S. Pub. 2003/0036927) (Eff. Filing date: 8/15/2002).

As to claims 1 and 29-30, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. teaches a search method and a computer readable medium storing a program, comprising the operations of:

the browser receiving from the search engine a results list of at least one item of search results arising from the query where each said item is associated with at least one site, the browser further displaying the results list (see paragraph 5);

Art Unit: 2164

the browser displaying the search cart list and responsive to user selection of an identified item of search results from the displayed search cart list, navigating to a site associated with the selected item directly from the displayed search cart list without the user having to operate the browser to navigate back to the displayed results list and choose the selected item of search results there from (see abstract and paragraphs 2, 17, and 27).

Ehrlich et al. does not teach a browser receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine.

Edlund et al. teaches a metadata search ranking system (see abstract) where he teaches a browser receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine (see column 3, lines 23-36).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ehrlich et al. by the teaching of Edlund et al., because a browser receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine, would enable the search method to "present to an end-user the intermediate matching search results of a keyword search in an index list of information. The method comprising the steps of: coupling to a search engine a graphical user interface for accepting keyword search terms for searching an indexed list of information with a search engine", (see column 3, lines 24-29).

Ehrlich et al. as modified does not teach the browser adding a selection mechanism to the displayed results list, the selection mechanism programmed to receive

Application/Control Number: 10/719,483

Art Unit: 2164

user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list; and

responsive to the browser receiving user input via the selection mechanism, the browser preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results.

Bowen teaches a search system and user interface (see abstract) in which he teaches the browser adding a selection mechanism to the displayed results list, the selection mechanism programmed to receive user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list (see fig. 5, character 402 and 502 and paragraph 71); and

responsive to the browser receiving user input via the selection mechanism, the browser preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results (see fig. 5, character 336 and paragraph 71).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ehrlich et al. by the teaching of Bowen, because the browser adding a selection mechanism to the displayed results list, the selection mechanism programmed to receive user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list; and

responsive to the browser receiving user input via the selection mechanism, the browser preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results, would enable the search method because "In the filtered view (not shown in the figures), the record explorer displays in one view the selected documents and objects in date/time order according to a user preference as to descending or ascending order. In the filtered

view the documents are concatenated or stepped one in front or behind the others with the title bar showing for each document window. Additional sorts may be by date, department, or type of document", (see paragraph 71).

As to claims 2 and 16, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches where the operation of the browser displaying the search cart list is conducted responsive to user request (see <u>Ehrlich et al</u>, paragraphs 30-31).

As to claims 3 and 17, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches where the operation of providing a selection mechanism comprises one of:

providing a selection mechanism built-in to the browser, providing a selection mechanism that is an add-on to the browser (see Ehrlich et al, paragraph 21).

As to claims 4 and 18, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches where the operation of submitting the query to a search engine comprises submitting the query to a search engine of Internet web sites. (see <u>Ehrlich et al</u>, abstract and paragraph 5).

As to claims 5 and 19, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein said selection mechanism input is generated responsive to a mouse-over click (see <u>Bowen</u>, paragraph 38).

As to claims 7 and 21, Ehrlich et al. as modified teaches wherein said selection mechanism comprises a separate checkbox associated with each of said search results in said first list (see Ehrlich et al, paragraph 73).

As to claims 8 and 22, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches the method further comprising the operation of:

providing an edit facility for entries made to said search cart list (see Ehrlich et al, paragraphs 31 and 74).

As to claims 9 and 23, <u>Ehrlich et al.</u> as modified teaches the method said edit facility providing functionality for any of editing entries, clearing all entries, and clearing individual sites (see <u>Ehrlich et al.</u>, paragraph 74).

As to claims 10 and 24, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein said search comprises any of a content search, file search, and a database search (see <u>Bowen</u>, figure 1).

As to claims 11 and 25, Ehrlich et al. as modified teaches the method further comprising the operation of:

saving entries in said search cart list in chronological order, where most recent sites are displayed at a top of said search cart list (see Bowen, paragraph 71).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,483 Page 7

Art Unit: 2164

As to claims 12 and 26, Ehrlich et al. as modified teaches wherein said search cart list comprises a temporary queue in which entries are not saved across search sessions (see Ehrlich et al., paragraph 74).

As to claims 14 and 28, <u>Ehrlich et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein said search method and apparatus is implemented in a search portal (see <u>Edlund et al</u>., column 2, lines 10-15).

As to claim 15, Ehrlich et al. teaches a search apparatus, comprising:

second browser means for receiving from the search engine a results list of at least one item of search results arising from the query where each said item is associated with at least one site, the browser further displaying the results list (see paragraph 5);

fourth browser means for displaying the search cart list and responsive to user selection of an identified item of search results from the displayed search cart list, navigating to a site associated with the selected item directly from the displayed search cart list without the user having to operate the browser to navigate back to the displayed results list and choose the selected item of search results therefrom (see abstract and paragraphs 2, 17, and 27).

Ehrlich et al. does not teach first browser means for receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine.

Edlund et al. teaches a metadata search ranking system (see abstract) where he teaches first browser means for receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine (see column 3, lines 23-36).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ehrlich et al. by the teaching of Edlund et al., because first browser means for receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine, would enable the search method to "present to an end-user the intermediate matching search results of a keyword search in an index list of information. The method comprising the steps of: coupling to a search engine a graphical user interface for accepting keyword search terms for searching an indexed list of information with a search engine", (see column 3, lines 24-29).

Ehrlich et al. as modified does not teach a browser-generated selection mechanism means added to the displayed result list for receiving user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list; and

third browser means responsive to receiving user input via the selection mechanism means preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results.

Bowen teaches a search system and user interface (see abstract) in which he teaches a browser-generated selection mechanism means added to the displayed result list for receiving user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list (see fig. 5, character 402 and 502 and paragraph 71); and

Application/Control Number: 10/719,483

Art Unit: 2164

third browser means responsive to receiving user input via the selection mechanism means preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results (see fig. 5, character 336 and paragraph 71).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ehrlich et al. by the teaching of Bowen, because a browser-generated selection mechanism means added to the displayed result list for receiving user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list;

third browser means responsive to receiving user input via the selection mechanism means preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results, would enable the search method because "In the filtered view (not shown in the figures), the record explorer displays in one view the selected documents and objects in date/time order according to a user preference as to descending or ascending order. In the filtered view the documents are concatenated or stepped one in front or behind the others with the title bar showing for each document window. Additional sorts may be by date, department, or type of document", (see paragraph 71).

As to claim 31, Ehrlich et al. teaches a method for enhancing operation of a computer-implemented browser programmed to receiving from the search engine a results list of at least one item of search results arising from the query where each said item is associated with at least one site, the browser further displaying the results list (see paragraph 5); the method comprising operations of: modifying the browser by

Art Unit: 2164

incorporating additional programming operable when executed by a computer to perform operations (see Ehrlich et al. paragraph 115) comprising:

the browser providing a selection mechanism programmed to receive user input identifying one or more items of search results from among the displayed results list (see <u>Bowen</u>, fig. 5, character 402 and 502 and paragraph 71) (as is explained on claim 1 and 15);

responsive to the browser receiving user input via the selection mechanism, the browser preparing a search cart list including the identified items of search results (see Bowen, fig. 5, character 336 and paragraph 71) (as is explained on claim 1 and 15):

the browser displaying of the search cart list and responsive to user selection of an identified item of search results from the displayed search cart list, navigating to a site associated with the selected item directly from the displayed search cart list without the user having to operate the browser to navigate back to the displayed results list and choose the selected item of search results therefrom (see Ehrlich et al. abstract and paragraphs 2, 17, and 27).

Ehrlich et al. does not teach a browser receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine.

Edlund et al. teaches a metadata search ranking system (see abstract) where he teaches a browser receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine (see column 3, lines 23-36).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2164

the invention was made to have modified Ehrlich et al. by the teaching of Edlund et al., because a browser receiving a user's query and submitting the query to a search engine, would enable the search method to "present to an end-user the intermediate matching search results of a keyword search in an index list of information. The method comprising the steps of: coupling to a search engine a graphical user interface for accepting keyword search terms for searching an indexed list of information with a search engine", (see column 3, lines 24-29).

As to claim 32, Ehrlich et al. as modified teaches where the operation of modifying the browser comprises downloading a client-side extension comprising one of the following: a plug-in, an XML applet, a Java applet, a JavaScript applet (see Ehrlich et al. paragraphs 13 and 55).

4. Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 11/21/02) as being unpatentable by Ehrlich et al. (U.S. patent 2002/0156685) (Eff. filing date of application: 2/10/2001) in view of Edlund et al. (U.S. patent 6,546,388) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/14/2000) and further in view of Bowen (U.S. Pub. 2003/0036927) (Eff. Filing date: 8/15/2002) as applied to claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-19, 21-26, and 28-32 above, and further in view of Lehmeier et al. (U.S. pub. 2003/0133076) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/11/2002).

As to claims 6 and 20, Ehrlich et al. does not teach wherein said search cart list is any of a pull down and a side bar.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,483

Art Unit: 2164

<u>Lehmeier et al.</u> teaches system and method for modifying image-processing software in response to visual test results (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein said search cart list is any of a pull down and a side bar (see paragraphs 45, 47, and 60).

Page 12

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ehrlich et al. by the teaching of Lehmeier et al., because wherein said search cart list is any of a pull down and a side bar, would enable the method because "Upon individually selecting each of the pull-down menu arrows, the operator will be presented with a menu listing all available selections related to the associated application, image mode, image size, and image resolution, respectively. As is also shown in FIG. 4, the brightness and contrast controls 441, 443 may be similarly presented in the form of a slide bar with each respective slide bar control outfitted with corresponding left and right arrows to adjustably control either the brightness or the contrast value that is applied to the selected image data", (see Lehmeier et al., paragraph 60).

5. Claims 13 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 11/21/02) as being unpatentable by Ehrlich et al. (U.S. patent 2002/0156685) (Eff. filing date of application: 2/10/2001) in view of Edlund et al. (U.S. patent 6,546,388) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/14/2000) and further in view of Bowen (U.S. Pub. 2003/0036927) (Eff. Filing date: 8/15/2002) as applied to claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-19, 21-26, and 28-32 above, and further in view of Rishe (U.S. pub. 6,339,773) (Eff. Filing date of application: 10/12/1999).

As to claims 13 and 27, Ehrlich et al. does not teach the method further comprising the operation of:

responsive to receiving user designation of selected entries within said search cart list, transferring said selected entries to a nonvolatile bookmarks list to preserve information related to said selected entries after a current search session has ended.

Rishe teaches data extractor (see abstract), in which he teaches the method further comprising the operation of:

responsive to receiving user designation of selected entries within said search cart list, transferring said selected entries to a nonvolatile bookmarks list to preserve information related to said selected entries after a current search session has ended (see column 5, lines 30-31).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Ehrlich et al.</u> by the teaching of <u>Rishe</u>, because the method further comprising the operation of:

responsive to receiving user designation of selected entries within said search cart list, transferring said selected entries to a nonvolatile bookmarks list to preserve information related to said selected entries after a current search session has ended, would enable the method because "In addition, the user can bookmark 310 the result for future access", (see Rishe, column 5, lines 30-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,483 Page 14

Art Unit: 2164

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081. The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bmo

September 25, 2007

CHARLES RONES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER